

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/527,368	03/16/2000	HIROSHI OOTSUKA	15162/01600	2350	
24367	7590 03/27/2003				
	SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400			EXAMINER	
				MOYER, MICHAEL J	
DALLAS, TX	75201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2675	16	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h.

			M			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/527,368	OOTSUKA ET AL.	/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J. Moyer	2675	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, and the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (its, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	February 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-19</u> is/are pending in the a	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-19</u> is/are rejected.		,				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/d Application Papers	or election requiremer	nt.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	its have been received	d.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received	d in Application No				
application from the International Be	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application h	nas been received.	•			
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	00 .==				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(sice of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:				

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 14 February 2003 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/527,368 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Before claims 1-4 and 6-15 were pending, now claims 1-4 and 6-19 are pending. Claims 1 and 15 have been amended and new claims 16-19 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (hereinafter "Inoue"), US 5,952,990, in view of Ono et al. (hereinafter "Ono"), US 6.075.508 and further in view of Okumura et al. (hereinafter "Okumura"), US 5,796,447.

As pertaining to claims 1, 6 and 15, Inoue discloses A liquid crystal display (col. 4, lines 41-45 and Figures 1-2, 8, and 10, #11), device comprising: a display section which uses liquid crystal with a memory effect or memory effect characteristics (col. 2, lines 30-47); a driving section which drives the display section (col. 4, lines 41-67, col. 5, lines 1-40, col. 6, lines 30-67, col. 7, lines 1-62 and 66, col. 8, lines 1-67 and col. 9, lines 1-19 and Figures 1-2, #12-#13, Figures 8, 10, #6 and #9) and a control section which controls the driving section to write currently displayed information on the display section again at a specified time and control section controls the driving section to perform writing on part of the display section and thereafter to write currently displayed information on the display section again (col. 4, lines 41-

Art Unit: 2675

67, col. 5, lines 1-40, col. 6, lines 30-67, col. 7, lines 1-62 and 66, col. 8, lines 1-67 and col. 9, lines 1-19 and Figures 1-2, #14, Figures 8, 10, #20).

As pertaining to claims 1 and 15, Inoue does not disclose a timer which begins counting when the information displayed on the display section is updated and the control section causes the driving section to rewrite currently displayed information on the display section upon the timer counting to a predetermined value and furthermore does not disclose the control section causes the display section to be reset before the driving section rewrites currently displayed information upon the time counting to a predetermined value.

As pertaining to claims 1 and 15, Ono discloses a display control apparatus in which a refresh driving and partial rewrite driving for updating the display. A timer counts a time during which a rewrite operation in the VRAM is not performed. When a predetermined count time has elapsed, the CPU sends a signal representing the continuous number of display lines to the addressing generator to perform refresh display (col. 2, lines 52-67; col. 3, lines 1-5; col. 7, lines 49-58).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the display control apparatus of Ono with Inoue.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide an LCD that is able to keep an image on the display or screen by using memory effect, thereby using less power, and a timer, in conjunction with memory effect, that is used to update the last image on the display when the timer has counted to a predetermined value. This process or method is good because it would save or at least allow the LCD to have a longer "life" or to be used longer because considerable power is saved by the use of memory effect and the timer. Furthermore, the information on the display or the speed at which the information can be displayed on the screen can also be increased due to the partial rewriting operation.

Art Unit: 2675

As pertaining to claims 1 and 15, Okumura discloses liquid crystal display, in which the LC used cholesteric. Furthermore, Okumura states that a given pixel must be reset several milliseconds before rewriting that particular pixel. Resetting can be done by several different methods, one particular method is a blanking reset method, in which all pixels are reset by using a resetting vertical blanking period before rewriting the pixels (col. 11, lines 57-67; col. 12, lines 1-8; fig. 9).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of resetting of all pixels of Okumura with display control apparatus of Ono and Inoue.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide an LCD in which the speed of rewriting or refreshing an image is increased substantially because all of the pixels are being reset then rewritten or refreshed compared to resetting a row of pixels then rewriting or refreshing and then continuing on with the next row and so forth. In this case by using Okumura all the pixels can be reset then by incorporating the method of Ono and Inoue the LCD is able to keep an image on the display or screen by using memory effect, thereby using less power, and a timer, in conjunction with memory effect, that is used to update the last image on the display when the timer has counted to a predetermined value. This allows the LCD to save power and thus the life expectancy of the LCD can be increases, which is always an added benefit.

3. Claims 2, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue, Ono and Okumura as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Huang, US 6,268,840.

As pertaining to claim 2, Inoue, Ono and Okumura, disclose a liquid crystal display device that uses ferroelectric liquid crystal, which exhibits a cholesteric phase (col. 3, lines 38-

Art Unit: 2675

41). Inoue et al. also discloses that the use of ferroelectric is advantageous because the response speed is very fast and that the liquid crystal molecules are bistable (col. 4, lines 27-30). Inoue et al. further discloses that the liquid crystal display device includes a display that uses memory effect or memory effect characteristics (col. 2, lines 30-47 and Figure 1-2, 8 and 10, #11), drivers (Figures 1-2, #12-13, and Figures 8 and 10, #6 and #9), controllers (Figures 1-2, #14, and Figures 8, 10, #20) and a power controller (Figures 1-2, #15).

Inoue, Ono and Okumura do not disclose the use of chiral nematic liquid crystal in the liquid crystal display device.

Huang discloses a visual display that uses bistable chiral nematic liquid crystal that exhibits a cholesteric phase (col. 1, lines 17-22, col. 1, lines 30-31).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the bistable chiral nematic liquid crystal of Huang with Inoue, Ono and Okumura.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide an apparatus that is better suitable to use bistable chiral nematic liquid crystal than ferroelectric. Most displays that use a liquid crystal, which exhibit a cholesteric phase typically use chiral nematic liquid crystal. With the use of chiral nematic liquid crystal and memory effect, the liquid crystal device would not have to continuously refresh or update itself. Furthermore, when using chiral nematic liquid crystal, it takes a lot less time to refresh or update the screen. Thus the art of saving power is maximized. It is known that chiral nematic liquid crystal is usually used for large display apparatus's, but to be able to expand this idea to smaller display apparatus such as personal digital assistant's (PDA's) and laptop would very marketable since many consumers now buy PDA's and laptop for personal and business usage. Claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 and is rejected on the same basis and what is stated above.

Art Unit: 2675

As pertaining to claim 16-17, it is inherently known, well known in the art and the examiner takes Official Notice that when cholesteric LC is used the display section will reset the pixels and come to a focal conic state. Furthermore, it is inherently known, well known in the art and the examiner take Official Notice that when cholesteric LC is used the display section will reset by applying a pulse voltage to untwist the liquid crystal which exhibits a cholesteric phase to each pixel. Also, by applying a voltage to untwist the liquid crystal, this is another way of saying the pixels are to come to a focal conic state. Claim 16-17 are dependent on claim 1 and are rejected on the same basis and what is stated above.

4. Claim 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue, Ono and Okumura as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Guscott et al. (hereinafter "Guscott"), US 4,728,936.

As pertaining to claims 3-4, Inoue, Ono and Okumura disclose a liquid crystal display, which includes a display that has memory effect or memory effect characteristics (col. 2, lines 30-47 and Figure 1-2, 8 and 10, #11), drivers (Figures 1-2, #12-13, and Figures 8 and 10, #6 and #9), controllers (Figures 1-2, #14, and Figures 8, 10, #20).

Inoue, Ono and Okumura do not disclose: a) **as pertaining to claim 3**, a detecting section which detects a contact action with the screen and having a control section that controls the driving section to write currently displayed information on the display again when a contact is detected, b) **as pertaining to claim 4**, a touch sensor.

Guscott discloses: a) **as pertaining to claim 3**, an apparatus that is a touch pad display device (col. 3, lines 8-10), when the display is touched a set of displayed symbols is produced or reproduced (col. 1, lines 58-68, col. 2, lines 1-20 and col. 4, lines 40-48), b) **as pertaining to claim 4**, it is inherently known that a device that is either a touch panel or touch screen or has a touch pad contains a touch sensor matrix or a touch sensitive matrix (col. 2, lines 21-26).

Art Unit: 2675

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the touch pad display device of Guscott with Inoue, Ono and Okumura.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a liquid crystal display device that can be touched to either input information or to obtain information.

Furthermore, with the use of memory effect, a user is able to write or obtain information via the touch pad and the information will not be distorted or ruined when the display is touched. This idea is already used for personal computers, laptops and PDA's that have screen savers.

Claims 3-4 are dependent on claim 1 and are rejected on the same basis and what is stated above.

5. Claims 7-9 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue, Ono and Okumura as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chikako, JP 08-035759.

As pertaining to claims 7-9 and 12-13, Inoue, Ono and Okumura disclose a liquid crystal display, which includes a display that has memory effect or memory effect characteristics (col. 2, lines 30-47 and Figure 1-2, 8 and 10, #11), drivers (Figures 1-2, #12-13, and Figures 8 and 10, #6 and #9), controllers (Figures 1-2, #14, and Figures 8, 10, #20) and a power controller (Figures 1-2, #15).

Inoue, Ono and Okumura do not disclose: a) referring to **claim 7**, explicitly where the power originates from, b) referring to **claim 8**, a secondary battery and an external device that is able to recharge the secondary battery by use of terminals, c) referring to **claim 9 and 13**, the external device is disclosed to be refrigerator and d) referring to **claim 12**, the liquid crystal display device is functionally able to be attached and detached from the an external device.

Art Unit: 2675

Chikako discloses: a liquid crystal display device (paragraph 0014), a) as pertaining to claim 7, an electric power source (paragraph 0010), b) as pertaining to claim 8, a device is able to recharge a battery with the use of AC power (paragraph 0010), c) as pertaining to claims 9 and 13, an external device as refrigerator (paragraph 0001), d) as pertaining to claim 12, a device that is attachable and detachable to an external device (paragraphs 0018, 0021 and 0026).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the liquid crystal display device, that has an electric power source, it is able to recharge a secondary battery from the electric power source that originates from a refrigerator and is able to be attached and detached from a refrigerator of Chikako with Inoue, Ono and Okumura.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide an apparatus that can used for displaying, writing or scanning and is capable of functioning with or without the electric power from a refrigerator. Also, this apparatus is able to function away from the refrigerator as a stand alone unit, thus allowing it to used much like a personal digital assistant (PDA) or a laptop. The future of technology is only getting better and many consumers like devices that function like computers but a fraction of the size and the kitchen is a perfect place since it's one of rooms in a house in which everybody visits at a consistent basis. Claims 7-9 and 12-13 are dependent on claim 1 and are rejected on the same basis and what is stated above.

6. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue, Ono, Okumura and Chikako as applied to claims 1 or 7 above, and further in view of Callahan, Jr. et al. (hereinafter "Callahan"), US 5,726,676 and Nakanishi, US 6,323,851 B1.

Art Unit: 2675

As pertaining to claims 10-11, Inoue, Ono, Okumura and Chikako disclose a liquid crystal display, which includes a display that has memory effect or memory effect characteristics (col. 2, lines 30-47 and Figure 1-2, 8 and 10, #11), drivers (Figures 1-2, #12-13, and Figures 8 and 10, #6 and #9), controllers (Figures 1-2, #14, and Figures 8, 10, #20) and a power controller (Figures 1-2, #15) and Chikako discloses an electric power source (paragraph 0010).

Inoue, Ono, Okumura and Chikako do not disclose: a) as pertaining to claim 10, a control section that stops the supply of electric power after writing, b) as pertaining to claim 11, a booster circuit that raises the voltage and applies it to the display section and a control section that stops the supply of electric power to the booster circuit.

Callahan discloses signal driver circuit for a liquid crystal display: a) **as pertaining to claims 10-11**, a power standby mode, in which the signal driver controls the data to be written to the display and after the data has been delivered powers down (col. 5, lines 11-15, col. 6, lines 35-44) and Nakanishi discloses a liquid crystal display device: b) **as pertaining to claim 11**, a booster circuit that raises the voltage to drive the LCD (col. 1, lines 13-29, col. 4, lines 34-42 and Figure 2, #210).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the signal driver circuit of Callahan and the booster circuit of Nakanishi with Inoue, Ono, Okumura and Chikako.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a liquid crystal display device that is able to conserve power more efficiently. As pertaining to claim 10, once the drivers write or obtain information to the display, the drivers will power down. With the help of memory effect or memory effect characteristics the information is kept on the display for a predetermine amount of time. Thus, the device does not have to keep powering up the drivers to refresh or rewrite the screen. As pertaining to claim 11, to incorporate a booster circuit would

Art Unit: 2675

be beneficial. With the help of a booster circuit the drivers are able to write, rewrite, refresh or obtain information to the display much faster then going through a process in which the drivers have to continuously find or generate a certain voltage or voltages to drive the display. Also by incorporating the idea of powering down or inactivating the booster circuit after the drivers have feed the information to the display and using memory effect or memory effect characteristics the drivers would not have to be powered up or kept on all the time to keep the information displayed. Thus, saving power. Claims 10-11 are dependent on claims 1 and 7 and are rejected on the same basis and what is stated above.

7. Claims 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue, Ono and Okumura as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Adler et al. (hereinafter "Adler"), US 6,342,901 B1.

As pertaining to claims 14 and 19, Inoue, Ono and Okumura disclose a liquid crystal display, which includes a display that has memory effect or memory effect characteristics (col. 2, lines 30-47 and Figure 1-2, 8 and 10, #11), drivers (Figures 1-2, #12-13, and Figures 8 and 10, #6 and #9), controllers (Figures 1-2, #14, and Figures 8, 10, #20).

Inoue, Ono and Okumura do not disclose a liquid crystal display device that is able to get a calendar, recipe, picture and data from outside, assuming that outside refers to information not already stored in memory within the device.

Adler discloses a portable device that is networked to remote or main processor that is able to obtain different types of information, whether it be email, a calendar, a picture or anything else (col. 4, lines 29-33, col. 4, lines 46-56, col. 6, lines 17-25) and is able to scan in data manually (col. 27, lines 56-67 and col. 28, lines 1-18 and Figure 24, #2416, Figure 25, #2510).

Art Unit: 2675

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the portable device of Adler et al. with Inoue, Ono and Okumura.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide one single device that is able to receive and store information from different entities. To have a device that is able to receive and store the information of food contents, i.e. dates, a calendar, email, download images, i.e. pictures, recipes etc. is very useful in today's society. Also, by making this device portable it is very practical because it allows a person the flexibility of receiving and storing information within a close proximity while in the kitchen or around the house, much like a PDA, but not as cumbersome as a personal computer or laptop. Claim 14 is dependent on claim 1 and is rejected on the same basis and what is stated above.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (hereinafter "Inoue"), US 5,952,990, in view of Unno et al. (hereinafter "Unno"), US 6,233,027 B1 and further in view of Ono et al. (hereinafter "Ono"), US 6.075.508.

As pertaining to claim 18, Inoue discloses A liquid crystal display (col. 4, lines 41-45 and Figures 1-2, 8, and 10, #11), device comprising: a display section which uses liquid crystal with a memory effect or memory effect characteristics (col. 2, lines 30-47); a driving section which drives the display section (col. 4, lines 41-67, col. 5, lines 1-40, col. 6, lines 30-67, col. 7, lines 1-62 and 66, col. 8, lines 1-67 and col. 9, lines 1-19 and Figures 1-2, #12-#13, Figures 8, 10, #6 and #9) and a control section which controls the driving section to write currently displayed information on the display section again at a specified time and control section controls the driving section to perform writing on part of the display section and thereafter to write currently displayed information on the display section again (col. 4, lines 41-67, col. 5, lines 1-40, col. 6, lines 30-67, col. 7, lines 1-62 and 66, col. 8, lines 1-67 and col. 9, lines 1-19 and Figures 1-2, #14, Figures 8, 10, #20).

Art Unit: 2675

As pertaining to claim 18, Inoue does not disclose a) a flexible substrate and b) a timer, which begins counting when the information displayed on the display section is updated and the control section causes the driving section to rewrite currently displayed information on the display section upon the timer counting to a predetermined value.

As pertaining to claim 18, Unno discloses a liquid crystal device with substrates 2 and 3 maybe flexible substrates (col. 5, lines 30-34; fig. 2).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the flexible substrate of Unno with Inoue.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide for a better LCD in which the flexible substrate can act as another polarizer or allow the LCD to be used as a touch panel and to reduce weight of the panel. Therefore if used a touch panel it would not be as hard to activate a touch sensor. Most flat panels today have a flexible substrate, in which they replace the glass panel. This allows the panel to be considerably lighter in weight. Thus when used on surface, i.e. wall, refrigerator, etc., the weight of the display is reduced because the glass substrate has been removed, thus it is easier to "hang" or to be attached to that surface. Flexible substrates are commonly used on laptop computers.

As pertaining to claims 18, Ono discloses a display control apparatus in which a refresh driving and partial rewrite driving for updating the display. A timer counts a time during which a rewrite operation in the VRAM is not performed. When a predetermined count time has elapsed, the CPU sends a signal representing the continuous number of display lines to the addressing generator to perform refresh display (col. 2, lines 52-67; col. 3, lines 1-5; col. 7, lines 49-58).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the display control apparatus of Ono with Inoue and Unno.

Art Unit: 2675

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide an LCD that is able to keep an image on the display or screen by using memory effect, thereby using less power, and a timer, in conjunction with memory effect, that is used to update the last image on the display when the timer has counted to a predetermined value. This process or method is great because it would save or at least allow the LCD to have a longer "life" or to be used longer because considerable power is saved by the use of memory effect and the timer. Furthermore, the information on the display or the speed at which the information can be displayed on the screen can also be increased due to the partial rewriting operation.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-4 and 16-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) Kim et al., US, 6,204,902 B1. Kim teaches a flexible plate liquid crystal display device.
 - b) Verhulst et al., US 5,684,504. Verhulst teaches a display device.
 - c) Verhulst et al., US 5,627,560. Verhulst teaches a display device.
- d) Mayhew et al., US 6,243,063 B1. Mayhew teaches a diffractive spatial light modulator and display.

Art Unit: 2675

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Moyer whose telephone number is (703) 305-2099. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

> Michael J. Moyer Examiner Art Unit 2675

MJM March 18, 2003

> STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600